I posed a question, and probably the reason I got this amendment out here to the Rules Committee, which is mostly composed of Democrat Members listening, there are more Head Start programs in Democrat districts than there are in Republican districts, just by the sheer economics of it, the demographics. I said, what if I came with a proposal that said, in kindergarten I'm going to recommend that we only have 50 percent of the teachers having a bachelor's degree in Democrat districts; how would you like that?

Well, this is what's happening here as you're mandating that we have a poorer quality of teachers with quality for another additional 2 years. So I think we can do better

You heard the \$24,000 average pay. That's right. We're going to increase on average a half a billion dollars, from \$6.9 billion to \$7.4 billion.

In my schools, in closing, there are choices. I won't name the counties, but I have seven teachers in one program with \$23,000 average salary. I have nine administrators with salaries from \$32,000 to \$41,600; another county, 21 teachers, \$20,100 average salary, eight administrators with salaries from 31-to \$42,000. So it's not always how much we spend, it's how we spend it. And we need to spend it on quality education for these, our most disadvantaged students. So I urge you to consider and pass my amendment.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 2 minutes.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this amendment, simply for the simple fact that we are trying to balance, in this legislation, the best we can do to increase the number of teachers with a B.A. degree in child education, child development and at the same time meet the other needs of the program. And to accelerate that effort on behalf of more teachers with an M.A. upsets that balance.

It's not like, with all due respect to my friend on the other side, and he shares my concern for teacher quality, it's what I've spent my public life trying to do. The fact of the matter is this is a program that essentially has received less than the COLA, last year got a 1 percent cut. And the fact of the matter is we're trying to patch it back up, trying to bring it back to the level where it was around 2002, and recognizing that we want to increase the access to a number of children, so money has to go for slots, money has to go for professional development, money has to go for quality, and money has to go for the salaries, and that's the balance that we have put in this program.

The date that you have was the date that you had at the beginning of 2005

when we started considering this legislation. That legislation didn't get through. We're now 2 years later, so we moved it back 2 years so that the programs can balance, can rebuild the quality, can add additional slots for the million children who are now waiting, and that's the balance that we arrived at on both sides of the aisle.

You could offer an amendment and say, well, there's a million children waiting. Let's put all the money into slots. Then you just reduce the quality and the availability to pay teachers to have them to stay.

So this isn't a game where you can just pick out one part of the program and say, let's put the money there, and that's the reason why we did what we did. And I don't think that this amendment is helpful in terms of our ability to hold on to current staff that have B.A.'s, and that's the staff we're trying to build, and then to attract additional ones to be able to put some money into that pay quality, and the additional slots. And I would hope that we would oppose this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. KIL-DEE).

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Chairman, I don't question the motives of Mr. MICA, but his amendment would jeopardize program quality by speeding up the deadline for the 50 percent of the Head Start teachers having their bachelor's degrees.

Budgets have really forced Head Start centers to make very difficult, sometime impossible decisions to reduce services or to serve fewer children. And I fear that the Mica amendment would exacerbate the hard choices which Head Start programs have faced over recent years because this Congress has not appropriated the kind of money we need.

The bill that came out of committee, by 42-1, establishes, I think, a rather reasonable and ambitious time line for 50 percent of our Head Start teachers to attain their bachelor's degrees. Under this time line, the bill ensures that Congress can provide the necessary funding to achieve this goal. We have to, as I think we have done in this bill which came out of committee, we have to balance the improvements in the program with the real resources. If we had unlimited resources, we could do all these things. But I think the bill balances the improvements with the resources.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Chairman, I urge a "no" vote on this amendment and yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MICA).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chairman announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. MICA. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further pro-

ceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. KENNEDY

The Acting CHAIRMAN. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 6 printed in House Report 110–116.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 6 offered by Mr. KENNEDY: Page 3, line 2, strike "(22) and (23)" and insert "(23) and (24)".

Page 3, line 4, strike "(20)" and insert "(21)".

Page 3, line 6, strike "(15) through (18)" and insert "(16) through (19)".

Page 3, line 8, strike"(13)" and insert "(14)".

Page 4, line 20, strike the close quotation and the comma at the end.

Page 4, after line 20, insert the following:

"(13) The term 'inclusive classroom' means a Head Start classroom that contains both children with disabilities and children without disabilities.",

Page 136, line 20, strike "and" at the end Page 136, line 25, strike the period at the end and insert "; and".

Page 136 after line 25, insert the following: "(17) assist Head Start agencies and programs to increase the capacity of classroom staff to meet the needs of eligible children in inclusive classrooms."

Page 160, strike lines 6 through 12, and insert the following:

(A) in paragraph (8) by adding "and" at the end

(B) by striking paragraphs (9) and (10) and insert the following:

"(9) contribute to understanding the impact of Head Start services delivered in inclusive classrooms on both children with disabilities and children without disabilities, and develop practices for increasing the availability and quality of inclusive classrooms."

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to House Resolution 348, the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. Kennedy) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rhode Island.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Chairman, I'd first like to thank my colleague, JOHN HALL, for his work on this amendment. He was president of his local board of education and knows this issue inside and out from the local perspective. And his work on this has been absolutely instrumental in its preparation.

I also want to thank Chairman MIL-LER and Chairman KILDEE, without whom the work of those for whom this amendment is designed to help, the disability community, those children with disabilities, this amendment is designed to supplement.

Frankly, Mr. Chairman, this amendment is really an attempt to just support what is already in this bill in the way of support of inclusive education. What this amendment seeks to do is use those dollars in this bill for teacher education and research, to support the notion that we ought to include children in the classrooms with disabilities